PATENT

ractitioner's Docket No.: 791 130 RCE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of:

Li YANG and Toshihiro YOSHIDA

Ser. No.: 09/770,725

Group Art Unit: 1746

For:

Filed: January 26, 2001

RECEIVED MAR 0 9 2004 Examiner: Jonathan Crepeau

Confirmation No.: 6015

LITHIUM SECONDARY BATTERY

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandfia, VA 22313-1450 on March 3, 2004 under "EXPRESS mailing label number EV 44336 7420 US.

et M. Stevens

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

The following remarks are in response to the Office Action mailed November 3, 2003. Claims 1-17 remain pending herein.

Claims 1-7 and 12-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,083,644 (Watanabe '644) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,350,544 (Takami '544) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,277,522 (Omaru '522).

The present invention is directed to a lithium secondary battery comprising an electrode unit and a non-aqueous electrolytic solution. The electrode unit comprises a positive electrode, a separator and a negative electrode. The positive and negative electrode used in making the battery would release 5000 ppm or less of water if they were heated at 25 to 200°C, and would release 1500 ppm or less of water if they were heated at 200 to 300°C.

If the positive and/or negative electrode according to the present invention is heated to 300°C, such electrode cannot be used for making a battery because the polymer-binder in the electrode becomes decomposed.

Watanabe '644 discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery which uses, as a negative electrode active material $\text{Li}_x \text{SiO}_y$ and uses, as the positive electrode, $\text{Li}_x \text{Ti}_y \text{O}_4$ (Watanabe '644, Abstract).

The lithium metal oxide material disclosed in Watanabe '644 for use in making the negative electrode can readily absorb water, unlike the carbonaceous material which the negative electrode active substance recited in claim 1 comprises.

Watanabe '644 discloses that "[t]he moisture content is preferably 2,000 ppm or less" as the entire battery, and it is preferred for the positive electrode mixture, the negative electrode mixture or the electrolyte to be 50 ppm or less (Watanabe '644, column 14, lines 47-50). Even if the moisture content of an electrode of Watanabe '644 was not more than 50 ppm after drying in a range of 80 to 350°C (Watanabe '644, column 14, lines 40-47), the moisture content released from such electrode may have been more than 50 ppm, and the moisture content released from all of the materials may have been more than 5000 ppm, if measured using the method as recited in the present claims.

In addition, Watanabe '644 discloses assembling the battery disclosed therein after drying preferably in the range of from 100 to 250°C (Watanabe '644, column 14, lines 39-47). However, where an electrode is going to be used in assembling a battery after drying, heating the electrode to more than 200°C in such a drying step is not realistic, because binder contained in the electrode would normally be decomposed or deteriorated at such a temperature. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the batteries disclosed in

Watanabe '644 do not satisfy the cumulative water concentration features recited in the present claims.

Takami '544 is relied on for alleged disclosure of use of LiMn₂O₄ as a positive electrode material, and Omaru '522 is relied on for alleged disclosure of use of graphitized carbon fiber as a negative electrode material. Accordingly, any such disclosure in the secondary references would not overcome the shortcomings of the primary reference as attempted to be applied against claims 1-7 and 12-17.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Claims 1-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,235,426 (Yanai '426) in view of Watanabe '644 in view of Takami '544 in view of Omaru '522.

Yanai '426 is cited for alleged disclosure of a non-aqueous lithium secondary battery comprising a positive and negative electrode laminated through a separator and containing an electrolyte comprising lithium hexafluorophosphate, the battery having a capacity of 3.5 Ah. The November 3, 2003 Office Action contains an acknowledgment that Yanai '426 does not disclose the water content of the electrodes. For the reasons discussed above, Watanabe '644, Takami '544 and Omaru '522 all fail to contain disclosure which would motivate one of skill in the art to attempt to construct the battery of Yanai '426 in such a way that the positive and negative electrodes would satisfy the cumulative water concentration features recited in the present claims. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

In view of the above, claims 1-17 are in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes that contact with Applicants' attorney would be advantageous toward the disposition of this case, the Examiner is herein requested to call Applicants' attorney at the phone number noted below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1446.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer No.: 025191

Telephone: (315) 233-8300

Facsimile: (315) 233-8320

March 3, 2004

Kévin C. Brown Reg. No. 32,402

KCB:jms

BURR & BROWN P.O. Box 7068 Syracuse, NY 13261-7068

MAR 0 3 2004			SIVILL AL ions of 37 CFR 1.13				
Application Number 09/770,7		725	Filing Date	Filing Date		January 26, 2001	
Group Ant Unit in 1746			Examiner 1	Examiner Name		January 26, 2001 Jonathan Crepeau 791_130 RCE	
Confirmation No. 6015			Attorney D	Attorney Docket No.		791_130 RCE	
Inventor(s) Li YANG and Toshihi			YOSHIDA			200	
Invention: LITHIUM SECONDARY BATTERY							
Transmitted here follows:	with is a Request fo	or Reconsideration is	n the above-iden	tified application. 1	The fee has been	n calculated as	
3			CLAIMS				
(1)	(2) Claims Remaining	(3)	(4) Highest Number Previously Paid	(5) No. of Extra Claims Present	(6) Rate (Large Entity)	(7) , Additional Fee	
TOTAL CLAIMS	17	MINUS	20	0	\$18.00	\$00.00	
INDEP. CLAIMS	2	MINUS	3	0	\$86.00	\$00.00	
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE						\$00.00	
above-identi follows: One Two	fied application. T Month (37 CFR 1 Month (37 CFR 1 Month (37 CFR 1	isions of 37 CFR 1. the requested extension of 17(a)(1)	OTAL FEES D	\$110.00\$420.00\$950.00	fee are as	110.00	
			FEE PAYMEN	Γ	- :		
△ A check in the Bound△ Charge Deposit		00 is enclosed. 46 in the amount of 3 ay be required, or cr		•			
Submitted By:							
Name (Print Type)	Kevin C. Brown		Reg. No.	32,402	Customer No.	025191	
····	$+ \mathcal{U} \cap \Omega$		Telephone	(315) 233-8300	Facsimile	(315) 233-8320	
Signature	Bain 19 Mun	<u>. </u>			Date	March 3, 2004	
5/2004 CNGUYEN 00	000035 09770725 リセ		ESS MAIL CERTII	FICATE			

03/0

01 F "Bepress Mail" label number EV 44336 7420 US

Date of Deposit: March 3, 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR § 1.10, on the date indicated above and is addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Janet M .Stevens